R. No. 7222/06
Presented on 11/12/06
dated

SINGLE BENCH
(4)

CF 100

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR CHHATTISGARH

W.P. (S) No. 7103 / 2006

PETITIONER : Nakul Singh Netam, S/o. Shri Samaru Ram Netam, aged about 43 years, Upper Division Teacher, Govt. High. School- Jainpuri, Block – Parasgaon, Distt. – Jagdalpur (Bastar) (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
11-12-06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :
1. State of Chhattisgarh & Others Through- The Secretary, Department of Tribal Welfare, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Commissioner, Tribal Welfare Chhattisgarh Govt. Raipur
3. Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Welfare, Distt. –Jagdalpur (Bastar) (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF SUITABLE WRIT, WRITS, DIRECTION ETC TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

(26)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

W. P. (s) No. 7096 of 2006

Petitioner : Awadhesh Kumar Pandey

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

W P (s.) NO. 7097 of 2006

Petitioner : Smt. Radheswari Patel

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

W P (s.) NO. 7098 of 2006

Petitioner : Smt. Mridula Jofar

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

W P (s.) NO. 7099 of 2006

Petitioner : Akhilesh Kumar Singh

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

27

W P (s.) NO. 7100 of 2006

Petitioner : Risabh Kumar Jain

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

W P (s.) NO. 7101 of 2006

Petitioner : Smt. Anjali Mehra

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

W P (s.) NO. 7102 of 2006

Petitioner : Gopal Dewangan

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

And

W P (s.) NO. 7103 of 2006

Petitioner : Nakul Singh Netam

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. Anil Singh Rajput, counsel for the petitioners

Miss Sunita Jain, Panel lawyer for the State/respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on this 20th day of December, 2006)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as also for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present writ petitions are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the case of ***Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj*** vs. ***Municipal Corporation, Durg and another***[1] .

2. For the aforementioned reasons, the writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj* (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioners have acquired B.Ed./B.T.I. Certificates at their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24-12-1998 and 1-3-1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

3. A copy of this order be placed on the record of the connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

---

1 2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96

Bablu